Order Demands Intelligence: Addendum

By Ben Bilyeu

The planets in their orbits about the sun constitutes an awesome example of macro engineering. The function of these planetary orbs in their timeless sweep throughout the cosmic night is so precise, the order, viz, the predictable function of each of these celestial worlds is so exact, that the position of each planet can be discerned a thousand years hence. It is the unanimous verdict of the human experience that precision of such caliber if found on the micro scale i.e., a watch, would constitute incontrovertible evidence of intelligence. Logic dictates that such an ordered function on the macro scale is equally an indelible stamp of intelligence, specifically, the ordered function of the planets is definitive evidence of the existence of a trans-material being of some kind-the sufficient antecedent. If it is true (and it is!) that the relatively simple mechanistic heliocentric planetary system evidences order and thus intelligence, then how immutable must that same conclusion be drawn regarding the 'infinitely' complex system of biological and chemical engineering known as 'the human body'?

The veracity of the argument that 'design is the product of intelligence', i.e., that 'order is the consequence of mind', was supposedly refuted by Darwin, since evolution supposedly showed that the innumerable intricacies and the ordered interplay and interaction of countless chemical and biological processes which transpired over billions of years, resulted in the existence of life in general and the human species in particular did so via blind chance thus negating the relevance of mind and intelligence to explain order in the material world. Evolution supposedly proved that mind/intelligence after all, are not a necessary antecedent to explain order a in the material world.

But there is one major flaw inherent in Darwin's assertion that life originated eons ago in a primeval soup via sheer happenstance: that the marvel of biological and chemical engineering comprising human existence is the consequence of chance, a roll of the cosmic dice, **is not true!** It is not true that life simply happened and evolved. This process has never been proven! Regardless of the fact that Darwin's evolution is accepted as a foregone conclusion by the supposed learned scientific community and regardless that organic evolution is taught as a "scientific fact" at every institution of 'higher learning', **the Darwinian thesis was never proven by Darwin, nor has the doctrine of organic evolution been proven by Darwin's minions since.**

Any one who contends that human life "just happened" and "evolved" from one species to another is obligated to present the specific evidence to augment that contention (this obligation is called burden of proof). **The evidentiary obligation resting upon the contender of organic evolution requires the answer to three questions. One) When was Darwin's organic evolution established as an objective fact? Two) What experiment was instrumental in declaring the facticity of organic evolution? Three) Who performed the critical experiment that ushered into human cognition the objective fact of organic evolution?** The appeal to dubious vagaries such as a slick well-illustrated textbook, or to "my professor said" as proof are futile! **Specificity of fact is the currency of this transaction.** The discovery of the planet Uranus transpired March 13, 1781 by astronomer Willian Herschel who observed the new orb via a six-inch reflecting telescope. The electron was discovered in 1897 by Joseph Thompson at the Cavendish laboratory by an experiment upon cathode rays. The advocate of Darwin's thesis assumes the burden of proof**, specificity of fact, sir—specificity of fact**!

The evidence that spontaneous generation

occurred billions of years ago and resulted in the successive species transformation resulting in Homo Sapiens must be demonstrated by experiment. As the physicist Pierre Duhem accurately observed, "**agreement with experiment is the sole criterion of truth for physical theory**". (p. 21 The Aim and Structure of Physical Theory). To understand the nature of experimentation, and consequently the significance of proof derived via experimental method, immediately and definitively, neutralizes even the thought of an appeal to happenstance to account for the existence of the material world and the transformation of the species. To understand the potency of experimental demonstrative evidence is an analogy to understanding the significance of checkmate. **Experiment and experiment alone is the singular caliber of evidence that would vindicate Darwin's hypothesis.** Darwinian evolution is the grand academic fraud of the modern world. (Note: it is an academic, scholastic fraud, not an intellectual fraud—there is a difference.) Darwinian evolution is an assertion, a conjecture, and is not a theory, let alone a scientific fact. The assertion that evolution is a fact and not just any kind of fact mind you but a scientific fact is blatant falsehood. Why? Because Darwin's thesis was never submitted to a single experiment by Darwin, nor have Darwin's advocates demonstrated the critical experiments. Why? Because it is impossible, categorically impossible to subject to experiment the critical premises upon which the doctrine of evolution rests, e.g., to reproduce in the laboratory the supposed primeval earth, life, or to duplicate the transformation of species -categorically impossible. **The contention that the argument 'design demands intelligence' was refuted by Darwinian Evolution is a boldface fraud!!** The contention that the complexity of life just happened and thus design does not need an intelligent antecedent as a rational explanation is dogma, pseudo-science and not empirical science.

There are two and only two explanations to account for the existence of physical reality and the phenomenon known as "life". Matter and life exist because of the premeditated activity of mind, intelligence, or matter and life exist because of a random chance, a roll of the cosmic dice. The former thesis viz, that mind and mind alone is the sufficient, rational antecedent that can account not only for life but for the existence of matter as well, has been documented by three species of circumstantial evidence. The latter explanation, that happenstance and spontaneous generation are the mechanisms which account for the existence of physical reality, is void of a single molecule of demonstrable experimental evidence. Because the phenomenon in question, the origin of matter and the origin and transformation of life, are wholly aloof form the methodology of empirical science. The collective resources and expertise of modern science cannot subject to experiment the critical variables of organic evolution. Again, "why?" Because those variables are removed from the domain of experimental verification. Even Darwin recognized this. To Darwin, the single evidence that would prove beyond any doubt the verity of his hypothesis was circumstantial evidence, e.g., the fossil record, specifically, the critical transitional fossils. And Darwin lamented the fact that even this lesser evidence (far lesser than the required benchmark for an empirical science) of the fossil record, did not exist either quantitatively or qualitatively to prove his contention. "Why then, is not every geological formation and every stratum full of such intermediary links? Geology assuredly does not reveal any such finely graduated organic chain. And this perhaps is the most obvious and serious objection which can be urged against the theory) (p. 292,293 ch. X "On the Imperfections of the Geological Record": The Origin of Species). No!! **The most serious objection that can be brought against the hypothesis is this: Darwin's evolution cannot (repeat: CAN NOT) be verified via experiment and Darwin knew that!!** Darwin, who longed to be recognized, as a "scientific man" never fathomed the idea that proof

via experiment was critical to prove his hypothesis.

The argument that 'order in material reality is the consequence of premeditated intelligence has not been refuted by Darwin! On the contrary, it is Darwinian Evolution that has never been proven by empirical science—And it will never be proven!!